

Entropy using Path Integrals for Quantum Black Hole Models

O. Obregón ^{*}, M. Sabido[†], and V. I. Tkach[‡]
Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato,
Apartado
Postal E-143 León, Gto., México

Abstract

Several eigenvalue equations that could describe quantum black holes have been proposed in the canonical quantum gravity approach. In this paper, we choose one of the simplest of these quantum equations to show how the usual Feynman's path integral method can be applied to obtain the corresponding statistical properties. We get a logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as already obtained by other authors by other means.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 04.60.Ds, 04.60.Kz

Typeset using REVTeX

^{*}E-mail: octavio@ifug3.ugto.mx

[†]E-mail: msabido@ifug3.ugto.mx

[‡]E-mail: vladimir@ifug1.ugto.mx.

In the early seventies, in his insightful work Bekenstein [1] proposed the quantization of a black hole. He suggested that its surface gravity is proportional to its temperature and that the area of its event horizon is proportional to its entropy. In his remarkable work he conjectured that the horizon area of non-extremal black holes plays the role of a classical adiabatic invariant. He concluded that the horizon area should have a discrete spectrum with uniformly spaced eigenvalues. Another result at that same time, was the discovery of the mechanical laws in the framework of general relativity, that govern non-extremal black holes by [2]. These laws have a striking analogy with those of thermodynamics. This similarity was not well understood until Hawking, in his seminal work [3] a year later, discovered that black holes evaporate, they radiate as black bodies with a temperature proportional to the surface gravity. One can then argue that the laws of black hole mechanics have a real thermodynamical meaning and that the entropy of a black hole is one fourth of its horizon area.

These remarkable results of nearly three decades ago, point out to a deeper relation among classical gravitation, quantum mechanics and statistical properties. Hawking's semi-classical calculations allowed to interpret the relation between classical black hole mechanics and its thermodynamics leading to the celebrated entropy area expression.

During these years, different approaches have emerged to try to understand the interplay between the quantum and classical descriptions, and the statistical properties of black holes. We briefly mention the main quantum gravity non-perturbative formalisms. String theory, whose building blocks are essentially D-branes [4], loop quantum gravity [5], and canonical quantum gravity, having as elementary constituents the quantum excitations of the geometry itself. These proposals emerge essentially from different principles. String theory is sought to be fundamental, it provides the precise expression for the temperature and entropy. Loop and canonical gravity do not provide the corresponding proportionality constants unambiguously. These, however, deal directly with the curved black hole geometry. In string theory, one carries out, for example, the calculation of Hawking's radiation in flat space. Moreover, in string theory these calculations have been up to now, only possible for extremal and nearly extremal black holes [4].

In this paper, we are interested in canonical quantum gravity treatments where a Hamiltonian quantum theory of spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime can be defined [6] (for our purposes we could also consider a ball or shell of dust collapsing to a black hole [7]). On the other hand, supposing a uniformly spaced area spectrum [8], the Schwarzschild black hole has been treated as a microcanonical ensemble [9]. Also considering a mini-superspace approach and by means of statistical techniques previously used in hadron physics, the usual area of black hole thermodynamics is recovered [10]. Moreover, assuming that the area spectrum of the black hole is uniformly spaced, a grand canonical ensemble has been considered with the ADM mass (the Hamiltonian) and the horizon area as separately observables [11]. It is argued that in this way the partition function is not divergent. However, the result in that work, a logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, is the same already obtained by Kastrup [12] by means of an analytic continuation approach.

We will take into account the results mentioned in the previous paragraph, and that the quantum Hamiltonians defined to study spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime should

describe, for an observer at rest far away, the quantum mechanics of a black hole [6,13]. We could have taken also models of a shell or ball collapsing on its way to a black hole formation [7,14]. In our procedure it is not absolutely necessary that the resulting area spectrum is uniformly spaced. However we need an eigenvalue equation for the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass of the hole or its horizon area, which we do not consider independent variables. We will proceed, with one of the most simple examples, by taking the Hamiltonian operator defined by Mäkelä and Repo [15], taking $Q = 0$, for the Reissner- Nordström black hole Hamiltonian. Our approach is straightforward, an eigenvalue equation has been defined for a particular parametrization of the variables of interest, where for a Schwarzschild black hole a certain factor ordering has been chosen. The quantum-mechanical model is represented by an eigenvalue equation for a linear harmonic oscillator. For such quantum equations, we already know how to obtain the corresponding statistical mechanics [16]. For $Q \neq 0$ and other variable parametrizations and factor orderings, the same method outlined here can be followed. We show how to proceed, with a simple example.

With Hamiltonians involving only momenta and coordinates, we can use path integrals to get the corresponding statistical properties. The equation of interest, in this paper, can be obtained from that in Mäkelä and Repo [15], for $Q = 0$ is

$$\frac{\hbar^2 G^2}{c^6} a^{-s-1} \frac{d}{da} \left(a^s \frac{d}{da} \Psi(a) \right) = \left(a - \frac{2GM}{c^2} \right) \Psi(a), \quad (1)$$

where a and $P_a^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2 G^2}{c^6} a^{-s} \frac{d}{da} \left(a^s \frac{d}{da} \Psi(a) \right)$ are phase coordinates obtained from the phase space coordinates m and P_m , by means of an appropriate canonical transformation and $m(t) := M(t, r)$ and $p_m(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr P_M(t, r)$. The variable m can be defined as the mass M of the hole when Einstein's equations are satisfied [15], s is a factor ordering parameter. In particular, if we choose $s = 2$ and identifying $R_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$, one gets

$$\frac{\hbar^2 G^2}{c^6} \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{d^2}{da^2} + \frac{2}{a} \frac{d}{da} \right) \Psi(a) = (a - R_s) \Psi(a). \quad (2)$$

We now transform

$$\Psi(a) = \frac{1}{a} U(a) \text{ and } x = a - R_s, \quad (3)$$

where the variable x describes the gravitational degrees of freedom of the Schwarzschild black hole. We also introduce the appropriate constants and consider the fact that the energy of “excitation” associated with the variable a is not positive; the physical reason for this is simply that the total energy of the black hole is included and the ADM energy is equal to zero. Then, the quantum equation (2) transforms into

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ell_{p\ell}^2 E_{p\ell} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{E_{p\ell}}{2 \ell_{p\ell}^2} x^2 \right) U(x) = \frac{R_s}{4 \ell_{p\ell}} E_s U(x), \quad (4)$$

where $E_s = Mc^2$ is the black hole ADM energy and $\ell_{p\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$ and $E_{p\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{c^5 \hbar}{G}}$ are Planck's length and energy respectively. Being (4) a quantum linear oscillator, one immediately gets

$$\frac{R_s(n)}{4\ell_{pl}} E_s(n) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) E_{pl}, \quad (5)$$

this result coincides with Beckenstein's proposal [1,8].

Our procedure can be summarized as follows. We start with the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the Schwarzschild black hole (4), we then use Feynman's path integrals approach to statistical mechanics. This will allow us to calculate the free energy and the partition function. So that the statistical properties of the black hole, temperature and entropy will be deduced.

The classical partition function for the harmonic oscillator is given by

$$Z_{class} = \frac{1}{\beta\hbar\omega}. \quad (6)$$

Applying the path integral formalism, the changes in the potential function due to quantum mechanical effects can be included by means of the use of [16]. The "corrected" potential for the oscillator is

$$V = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left(x^2 + \frac{\beta\hbar^2}{12m} \right), \quad (7)$$

which gives the partition function

$$Z_{approx} = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\beta\hbar\omega)^2}{24}}}{\beta\hbar\omega}. \quad (8)$$

It should be pointed out that this result is for the Euclidean space.

We now utilize this method, that has been so successful in quantum mechanics, in the quantum gravity model of interest. Obviously, we do not have a quantum theory of gravity, but we have a quantum harmonic oscillator eigenvalue equation for the Schwarzschild black hole (4), that provides the expected energy eigenvalues (5) [1,8]. We should note that the Schrödinger equation (4) for black holes, corresponds to a quantum oscillator in Lorentzian space. Consequently, we need to modify the partition function defined in (8) to go from the Lorentzian to the Euclidean world. After a rotation $\beta \rightarrow -i\beta$, which is equivalent to a Wick rotation $t \rightarrow i\tau$, the partition function has the following form

$$Z_{approx}^* = i \frac{e^{\frac{(\beta\hbar\omega)^2}{24}}}{\beta\hbar\omega}. \quad (9)$$

As already stated by Kastrup [12], this analytic continuation should be used to get the thermodynamical properties of interest, so we have for the internal energy

$$\overline{E} = -\frac{\partial \ln(\text{Im } Z_{approx}^*)}{\partial \beta} = -Mc^2, \quad (10)$$

which should be the internal gravitational energy of the black hole. According to equation (4) the frequency of our harmonic oscillator results in $\hbar\omega = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} E_{pl}$, so from equations (9) and (10), we get

$$\frac{E_{p\ell}^2}{8\pi}\beta^2 - Mc^2\beta - 1 = 0, \quad (11)$$

the positive solution for this equation for the case $E_{p\ell} \ll Mc^2$ leads to

$$\beta = \frac{8\pi Mc^2}{E_{p\ell}^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{E_{p\ell}}{Mc^2} \right)^2 \right] = \beta_H \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta_H Mc^2} \right], \quad (12)$$

which is Hawking's temperature $\beta_H = \frac{1}{kT_H}$, plus a small correction, that is the same as that obtained by Kastrup [12]. This calculation is straightforward, that is because the quantum equation corresponds to a linear oscillator, but even if we would have a more complicated equation, we would only need to calculate a more difficult path integral. This would be the case, for example, for the Reissner-Nordström black hole $Q \neq 0$ [15].

The entropy for the model, can be obtained again, by means of the rotation ($\beta \rightarrow -i\beta$, $k \rightarrow ik$), we get

$$\text{Im} \left(\frac{S}{ik} \right) = \left[\ln \left(\text{Im} Z_{approx}^* \right) + \beta \overline{E} \right], \quad (13)$$

at $\beta \hbar \omega = \beta \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} E_{pl}$. By putting the expression in terms of the partition function

$$\text{Im} \left(\frac{S}{ik} \right) = \left[\ln \left(\text{Im} Z_{approx}^* \right) - \beta \frac{\partial \ln(\text{Im} Z_{approx}^*)}{\partial \beta} \right], \quad (14)$$

and substituting equation (8) we get

$$\frac{S}{k} = \frac{A_s}{4l_{pl}^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{E_{p\ell}^2}{(Mc^2)^2} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{A_s}{4l_{pl}^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{E_{p\ell}^2}{(Mc^2)^2} \right]^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(24) - 1, \quad (15)$$

where $A_s = 4\pi R_s^2$ is the area of the horizon.

In terms of the Bekenstein-Hawking relation $\frac{S_{BH}}{k} = \frac{A_s}{4l_{pl}^2}$ and ignoring terms of higher order, we finally obtain the logarithmic correction as previously obtained by Kastrup [12] and recently by Gour [11] using different procedures.

$$\frac{S}{k} = \frac{S_{BH}}{k} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{S_{BH}}{k} \right). \quad (16)$$

We should mention that it has already been shown in [12] that the black hole thermal fluctuations are small (and the same results follow in our case). Consequently the thermal interactions with the heat bath are small and, a canonical statistical treatment seems justified. The above previous results would then be understood as to provide the conditions on the heat bath [17] if it is to be in thermal equilibrium with the back hole.

In this paper, we have shown how the Feynman method to obtain the statistical mechanics of a system from its fundamental quantum mechanics can be applied to some quantum black hole models. For this purpose, as an example, we have chosen one of the simplest [15] Wheeler-DeWitt equations proposed in the literature to describe the quantum mechanics of a black hole. For any Hamiltonian in terms only of momenta and coordinates, the path

integral method provides us with a powerful tool to describe physical properties of the system of interest. So, the procedure can be generalized to a wide variety of Wheeler-DeWitt equations that have been or could be proposed for black holes. One can consider models describing collapsing dust or a shell on the process to form a black hole [7,14], or those concerned with spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime [6,13]. The proposals can be for black hole metrics including charge, angular momentum and even other possible physical properties. Even in these more general cases, the minisuperspace approach leaves out, necessarily, degrees of freedom that are not considered in the quantum model and for some physical purposes it will probably be unable to provide some particular desired physical results that may require to take into account, for example, particle interactions and (or) higher angular momentum modes [18]. We have chosen an equation in minisuperspace (4) that has been parametrized in such a way that it provides Bekenstein area spectrum (5) [1,8]. These area eigenvalues have been used directly, by other authors [11,12] to get Hawking's entropy and the logarithmic correction. For the purpose of getting the temperature (12) and the black hole entropy and its logarithmic correction (16), we have shown here that the minisuperspace approach, by means of Feynman's method, gives the expected physical properties already obtained by other means [9–12]. Some other Hamiltonians for more general metrics, with and without matter and their supersymmetric generalizations, are under study and will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

We thank Carlos Martinez and Pedro Ludwig for several remarks. This work was supported in part by CONACyT grant 28454E, and CONACyT-NFS grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 2333; J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **9** (1974) 3292; J.D. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **11** (1974) 467.
- [2] J. E. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31** (1973) 161.
- [3] S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43** (1975) 199; G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 2752.
- [4] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B **379** (1996) 99; J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 428; A. W. Peet, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 3291 (1998); S. Mukhyama, thesis e-print gr-qc/9812079; M. Maggiore, Nucl. Phys. B **429** (1994) 205; C. O. Lousto, Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 1733.
- [5] A. Ashtekar and K. Krasnov, in *Black Holes, Gravitational Radiation and the universe*, edited by B. R. Iyer and B. Bhawal, Kluwer, Netherlands. and references cited there in; M. Bojowald and H. A. Kastrup, e-print hep-th/9907043.
- [6] J. Louko and J. Mäkelä, Phys. Rev. D **54** (1996) 4982; H. Kastrup, Phys. Lett. B **385** (1996) 75; A. Barvinsky and G. Kunstatter, Phys. Lett. B **389** (1996) 231.
- [7] V. Berezin, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 2139; A. D. Dolgov and I. B. Khriplovich, Phys. Lett. B **400** (1997) 12; Y. Peleg, Phys. Lett. B **356** (1995) 462.
- [8] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 4293.
- [9] G. Scharf, Nuovo Cimento **B113** (1998) 821; B. Harms and V. Leblanc, Phys Rev. D **46** (1992) 2334.
- [10] C. Vaz, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 064017.
- [11] G. Gour, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 021501.
- [12] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Lett. B **413** (1997) 267.
- [13] O. Obregon and M. P. Ryan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13** (1998) 3251; M. Cavaglia, V. de Alfaro and A. T. Filippov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **4** (1995) 661.
- [14] C. Vaz and L. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 024009, and references cited there in.
- [15] J. Mäkelä and P. Repo, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 4899.
- [16] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [17] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 1420; J. D. Brown and J. W. York, e-print gr-qc/9405024.
- [18] L. Susskind and J. Uglum, e-print hep-th/9410074.